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Background Information

- Malnutrition is a serious and commonly reported problem amongst hospitalised elderly patients – it is estimated to be between 28-43% in elderly sub-acute patients.

- Malnutrition is associated with a higher morbidity and mortality and an increased length in hospital stay.

- Nutrition Risk Screening (NRS) is a validated tool for identifying elderly patients who are nutritionally compromised or at risk.

- NRS is also a method used by dietitians to prioritise patients, with those at high risk of malnutrition being of high priority.

- Using Nursing Staff, a low compliance with NRS has been widely reported - The use of a nutrition dedicated AHA has been suggested as an alternative approach.
Aims

• Through the use of a Nutrition AHA we hoped to achieve a 100% completion rate for NRS of the patients referred to Nutrition Services

• Additionally, we hope to raise awareness of malnutrition, subsequently ensuring referrals are completed in a timely manner and are prioritised correctly.
Methods

• A Grade 3 Allied Health Assistant was recruited to Nutrition Services at Caulfield Hospital.

• The main role for the AHA was to undertake NRS for all referrals regarding poor oral intake, weight loss and possible malnutrition on one Aged Care ward during February and March 2011.

• Caulfield Hospital uses an Interdisciplinary Assessment Tool (IDAT) for all newly admitted patients. One page is dedicated for nutrition and contains two sections. Section one asks five questions that trigger an immediate Dietitian referral. The remainder of the Nutrition Assessment is comprised up of the Mini Nutritional Assessment – Short Form. The Nutrition section of the IDAT was used by the Nutrition AHA to screen referred patients, alongside a specifically developed Nutrition Assistant screening form.
The Interdisciplinary Assessment Tool – Nutrition Page

Comprised of 5 questions aimed to trigger an immediate dietetic referral, and the MNA-SF. The MNA-SF is a validated tool recommended by the Dietitian’s Association of Australia for the nutrition screening of elderly patients.
In addition to the Nutrition section of the IDAT, a separate Nutrition Assistant screening form was developed for use, to pick up any further issues that should trigger a referral to Dietitian. These triggers included:

- % weight change
- Physical signs of malnutrition
- Diabetes (Type 1 or newly insulin requiring)
- Dysphagia
- Food allergy
- End stage renal failure
- Grade 2 or above pressure injury
- Enteral Nutrition support

The Nutrition Assistant Screening Form also obtained valuable information necessary for the ongoing monitoring of patients including dietary likes and dislikes, recent oral intake and whether the patient requires assistance at meals.
Results – Screen Categorisation

• A total of 38 screens were completed of which 3 indicated low risk, 20 were at moderate risk and 15 were at high risk for malnutrition
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• Both moderate and high risk groups require nutrition intervention (92% of patients screened)

• Patients at moderate risk of malnutrition were monitored by the AHA when there were no other factors triggering a referral to Dietitian

• Of the moderate risk patients, 7 were referred to the Dietitian after successful identification of triggering factors by the Nutrition AHA
Results – Completion Rates

• The completion rate of NRS for pts screened by the AHA was 100% (38/38)

• The completion rate of NRS for patients screened by NS was 8% (3/38)

• The 3 pts who had their NRS completed by Nursing Staff were of High(2) and Low(1) risk. NS had not timely completed NRS for 33/35 patients that WERE at compromised nutritional risk.

• The AHA identified all 35 patients that were at risk of malnutrition
Results – Dietetic Referral

- When completed together the Nutrition section of the IDAT and the screening form used by the AHA resulted in a higher dietetic referral rate (22/38) when compared to the Nutrition Section of the IDAT alone, due to the ability of the tool to identify patients at further nutritional risk than possible malnutrition alone.
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Results – Dietetic Referral

7 patients who screened Moderate risk when using the MNA-SF, were in fact a high nutrition priority due to other triggers that may not have been picked up through screening by Nursing Staff using the Nutrition IDAT section alone.

This could lead to moderate risk patients being prioritised low, when they have further nutritional issues that should trigger a higher priority referral than possible malnutrition alone.
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Conclusions

• A Nutrition AHA can screen patients, allowing for a more structured and timely triage of patients at risk of compromised nutrition status

• The completion rate of NRS by the AHA is 100% compared to NS at 8%

• The Nutrition AHA can complete in depth screening of patients, triggering referrals relating to nutritional issues other than malnutrition

• The Nutrition AHA can promote nutrition interventions, and assist with their delivery in a more timely manner than a Dietitian alone.
Further Study Options

**Issue:** Increased awareness and screening of malnutrition is likely to result in an increase in referrals

**Why is this an issue?:** Many nutrition departments are currently underfunded and are struggling to meet current demand

**Proposed Solution:** The ongoing use of a grade 3 AHA to monitor patients at moderate risk of malnutrition is being considered at present time within Caulfield Hospital and we are gathering data measuring patient outcomes as well as length in hospital stay and Dietitian prioritisation/workloads.
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